

PAIN MEDICINE

Case Reports

An Electronic Publication of **The American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians** and a Clinical Companion Journal to *Pain Physician*

Pain Medicine Case Reports is an open access journal, available online with free full articles at www.painmedicine-casereports.com

Mission

The mission of *Pain Medicine Case Reports* is to promote excellence in the practice of interventional pain management, pain medicine, and clinical research. *Pain Medicine Case Reports* is a peer-reviewed, multi-disciplinary journal directed to an audience of pain physicians and other clinicians with an interest in interventional pain management and pain medicine.

Scope

Pain Medicine Case Reports is an official publication of the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP) and is a sister publication of *Pain Physician*, with a different perspective, and priorities of publication. Thus, *Pain Medicine Case Reports* publishes case reports, case series, and letters to the editor. It is a peer-reviewed journal written by and directed to an audience of pain physicians and other providers, policy makers, and clinicians with an interest in interventional pain management and pain medicine.

Pain Medicine Case Reports is an open access journal, available online with free full articles. It is listed in CrossRef, WorldCat, ResearchBIB, and DRJI.

Publication Ethics Statement

Publication and authorship

1. All submitted articles are subject to peer-review process by reviewers that are experts in the area of the particular subject. Reviewers are selected by a senior member of the editorial board based on the reviewer's previously categorized areas of expertise.
2. The factors that are taken into account in review are relevance, originality, readability, statistical validity and language.
3. The possible decisions include acceptance, minor revisions, major revision or rejection.
4. If authors are encouraged to revise and resubmit a submission, there is no guarantee that the revised submission will be accepted.
5. Rejected articles will not be re-reviewed.
6. Authors of rejected articles may be provided with reviewer comments.
7. The article acceptance is constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism.
8. No research can be included in more than one publication, whether within the same journal or in another journal. The only exception to this is a follow-up, or an updated, article.

Authors' responsibilities

1. Authors must certify that their article is their original work.
2. Authors must certify that the article has not previously been published elsewhere, or even submitted or reviewed in another journal.
3. Authors must participate in the peer review process and follow the comments.
4. Authors are obliged to provide retractions or corrections of mistakes.
5. All Authors mentioned in the article must have significantly contributed to the research. Level of their contribution also must be defined in the "Authors' Contributions" section of the article.
6. Authors must state that all data in the article are real and authentic.
7. Authors must notify the Editors of any conflicts of interest.
8. Authors must identify all sources used in the creation of their article.
9. Authors must use relevant sources that might help other researchers/journals.
10. The review process is double blind. The authors should have no knowledge of who is reviewing the article. Please notify the editorial staff if you have knowledge of who specifically has commented on your article.

Publication Statements

Peer Review/Responsibility for the Reviewers

1. Reviewers should keep all information regarding articles confidential and treat them as privileged information.
2. Reviews should be conducted objectively, with no personal criticism. Please let the editorial staff know if you have knowledge of who authored a particular article. Views should be expressed clearly and professionally, and be supported with documentation.
3. The review process must be double blind. The authors should have no knowledge of who is reviewing the article and the reviewers should have no knowledge of who authored a article.
4. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.
5. Reviewers may identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors.
6. Reviewers should also call, in a professional manner, to the Editor-in-Chief's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the article under consideration and any other published article of which they have personal knowledge.
7. Reviewers should not review articles in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the companies, or institutions connected to the articles.
8. Reviews are welcome to submit articles to the journal. These submissions are not given any priority over other articles, and Editorial Board Member status has no bearing on editorial consideration.

Publishing Ethics Issues

1. All editorial members, reviewers and authors must confirm and obey rules defined by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).
2. Corresponding author is the main owner of the article so she/he can withdraw the article.
3. Authors cannot make major changes in the article after acceptance without a serious reason.
4. All editorial members and authors must be willing to publish any kind of corrections honestly and completely.
5. Any notes of plagiarism, fraudulent data or any other kinds of fraud must be reported completely to COPE.

Pain Medicine Case Reports adheres to the guidelines and best practices as recommended by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) and the Principles of Transparency and Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). Further, all processes of handling research and publication misconduct shall follow the applicable COPE flowchart (<https://publicationethics.org/resources/flowcharts>).

If there is suspicion of misbehavior or alleged fraud *Pain Medicine Case Reports* will carry out an investigation following COPE guidelines. If, after investigation, there are valid concerns, the author(s) concerned will be contacted under their given e-mail address and given an opportunity to address the issue. Depending on the situation, this may result in *Pain Medicine Case Reports'* implementation of the following measures, including, but not limited to:

If the article is still under consideration, it may be rejected and returned to the author. If the article has already been published online, depending on the nature and severity of the infraction: an erratum/correction may be placed with the article an editorial expression of concern may be placed with the article or in severe cases retraction of the article may occur

The reason will be given in the published erratum/correction, editorial expression of concern or retraction note. Please note that retraction means that the article is maintained on the platform, watermarked "retracted" and the explanation for the retraction is provided in a note linked to the watermarked article.

The author's institution may be informed. A notice of suspected transgression of ethical standards in the peer review system may be included as part of the author's and article's bibliographic record.

Peer Review Process

All submissions to the journal are initially reviewed by one of the Editors. At this stage articles may be rejected without peer review if it is felt that they are not of high enough priority or not relevant to the journal. This fast rejection process means that authors are given a quick decision and do not need to wait for the review process.

Articles that are not instantly rejected are sent out for peer review, usually to two independent reviewers. Based on the feedback from these reviewers and the Editor-in-Chief, a decision is given on the article. The average time from submission to first decision is approximately 6-8 weeks. If a article is not acceptable in its present form, we will pass on suggestions for revisions to the authors.

Authors are given up to a year to resubmit a revised article. Revised articles will go back to a member of the Editorial Board to determine if the article will undergo a second review or if a decision can be reached.

Once a article is accepted, it will be published in the next available online issue, normally 3 months from acceptance.

Disclosures and Conflicts of Interest

Authors must identify all sources of funding from public and private sources such as pharmaceutical companies and commercial organizations that supported the study presented in the article. *Pain Medicine Case Reports* utilizes the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. This must be submitted by each author for every article submitted.

The Disclosure and Conflict of Interest section will be published with each article. This is a list of all contributions, disclosures, credits, and other information including authors' contributions; information on author access to data; disclosure of potential conflicts of interest, including financial interests, activities, relationships, and affiliations; sources of funding and support; an explanation of the role of sponsor(s); information on independent statistical analysis (if required); names, and degrees; any important disclaimers; information on previous presentation of the information reported in the article.

Sound authorship of articles relies on personal and professional integrity and accountability; however, there is much controversy concerning ghostwriting, guest authorship, plagiarism, and duplicate publications. Ghostwriting and guest authorship are often linked to university-industry collaborations. Typically, industry-sponsored professional writers prepare complete articles, which are then presented to senior, often expert, academics who submit the article in their own name with or without editing to a journal and they are often reimbursed. *Pain Medicine Case Reports* prohibits ghostwriting, plagiarism, and duplicate publications. These activities are considered as misconduct.

Consequently, ghost or guest authorship, plagiarism, and duplicate publications will be investigated using the Committee on Publication Ethics guidelines. If there is more than one author, a corresponding author should be designated to provide a complete address, telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail address. All author

information should be entered on the online article submission form. The author must certify the following (which may be incorporated into the e-mail or letter accompanying the article):

- This article represents a valid work and neither this article nor one with substantially similar content under my authorship has been published or is being considered for publication elsewhere, except as described in an attachment.
- If requested by the editors, I will provide the data or will cooperate fully in obtaining and providing the data on which the article is based for examination by the editors or their assignees.
- For publications with multiple authors, I agree to serve as the primary correspondent with the editorial office to review the edited article and proof.
- I certify that all financial and material support for this research and work are clearly identified in the article.
- I certify that all my affiliations with, or financial involvement with, any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the article are completely disclosed here or in an attachment, or the corresponding author and any other authors have no relevant financial interests in this article.

Each author(s) also must transfer copyright. E-mails or letters should state that in consideration of the action of *Pain Medicine Case Reports* in reviewing and editing this submission (article, tables, and figures), the author(s) undersigned hereby transfer(s), assign(s), or otherwise convey(s) all copyright ownership, including any and all rights incidental thereto, exclusively to ASIPP, in the event that such work is published by *Interventional Pain Management Reports*.

For federal employees, a statement should be included that the author(s) was an employee of the U.S. Federal Government when this work was conducted and prepared for publication. Hence, it is not protected by the Copyright Act, and copyright ownership cannot be transferred. Authors should obtain written permission from all individuals named in an acknowledgment, since readers may infer their endorsement of data and conclusion.

Acknowledgements and Author Contribution

Authors must obtain written permission to include the names of all individuals included in the Acknowledgment section, and the corresponding author must confirm that such permission has been obtained in the Authorship Form (http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf).

Please state what role each of the authors played in the production of the article in the author contribution section at the end of the article.

Ethical Considerations and Informed Consent

Human and animal studies require Institutional Review Board approval and this should be described in the methods section of the article. For those investigators who do not have an IRB, the guidelines outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki should be followed.

Permissions Required to Reproduce or Adapt Material

Any illustrations or tables adapted or reproduced from other publications must be acknowledged. Authors must submit permission from original publishers or other copyright owners.

Drug Disclaimer

The mention of trade names, commercial products or organizations, and the inclusion of advertisement does not imply endorsement by the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians or the organization to which the authors are affiliated. The editor and publisher have taken all reasonable precautions to verify drug names and doses, the results of experimental work, and clinical findings found in this journal. The ultimate responsibility for the use and dosage of drugs mentioned in this journal and in interpretation of published materials lies with the medical practitioner, and the editors and publishers cannot accept liability for damages arising from any errors or omissions in the journal. Please inform the Editor-in-Chief of any errors.